The antimicrobial activity of neutral electrolyzed water against germs and fungi from feedstuffs, eggshells and laying hen house

Received for publication October, 31, 2016 Accepted, June, 19, 2017

Authors: IOAN SURDU¹*, DANIELA VĂTUIU², ȘTEFANA JURCOANE³, MARGARETA OLTEANU⁴, IOANA VĂTUIU³

1* Romanian Academy/ "Costin C. Kiritescu" national Institute of Economic Researches –Mountain Economy Centre(CE-MONT)Calea 13 Septembrie, 13, 030508 Bucharest, Romania and S.C. SIAT S.A.- Informatical Systems for Automation Bucharest, Calea Floreasca no. 169, 014459, sector 1; Bucharest, Romania, E-mail: surdu.ioan@gmail.com,

2 ICA Research & Development S.A. Bucharest, Romania

3 Microbial Biotechnological Center Bucharest, Romania

4 National Research and Development Institute for Biology and Animal Nutrition Balotesti – INCDBNA, Romania

*Address for correspondence to: surdu.ioan@gmail.com

Abstract

The work consisted in studying the sanitation effect of neutral anolyte(ANK) on pathogen agents from poultry housing space, eggshells and feedstuffs, used to laying hens nutrition. It has used three solutions of neutral anolyte in water: a)ANK solution with an active chlorine content of 8mg/l and 12mg/l respectively, used as drinking water for laying hens; b)ANK solution with an active chlorine content of 8mg/l and 12mg/l respectively used for treatment the seven types of feedstuffs (maize, barley, maize gluten meal, rice, barley, soybean meal and canola meal), three mixed feeds, and five types of eggshells, respectively. Treatments consisted in the immersion for 15min of each sample in ANK solution; c)ANK solution with an active chlorine content of 36mg/l active chlorine had a total bactericidal effect on the Total coliform, meantime the total number of germ from feedstuffs decreased with 14.29% until to 97% against the control in case of 12 mg/l active chlorine. The experiment highlights the role of active chlorine on the microbiological load in the water, feedstuffs, diets, eggshells and hen-house space. As the concentration of active chlorine is much higher as more significant is the bactericidal effect of the ANK solution.

Keywords: electrolyzed water, Neutral Anolyte ANK, bactericidal effect, electrochemically activated substances

1. Introduction

The most important indicators of the laying hen digestive micro flora also in relation with litter contamination level are determined by the bacteriological water properties combined with feedstuffs and mixed diets quality (Hulea Ana et al. [10]). Numerous previous and preventable studies performed, against those aspects with incidental epidemiology cases have highlighted the fact that water is a major provider of pathogen agents with negative effect, given by various bacteria, such as: *Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Cryptosporidium, Giardia lamblia, Listeria and Legionella* (Amaral, L. A. [1]; Fenner D. C. et al. [8]). Pathogen agents are simple growing in feedstuffs, in laying house, on cages and on the eggshells also.

^{*} Corresponding author: Ioan SURDU; Mobile: +40.0727.368.054, E-mail: surdu.ioan@gmail.co

The cleaning effectiveness of slightly acidic electrolyzed water (SAEW) for inactivating the microbes in layer houses was investigated by (Hao X. X. et al. [9]) who showed that SAEW with 250 mg/l active chlorine, pH of 6.19 and ORP of 974 mV, has inactivated 100% of bacteria and fungi in feces and feed. As results of studies performed by scientists from USA (Kim C. et al., [12]; Park C. M. et al. [16]) and China (Wei Cao et al. [18]), the electrolyzed water became a major sanitation product used in food industry. The antimicrobial effect of electrolyzed water solutions in many different food models such as vegetables, meat, poultry, fish was also showed by (Barakat S. M. Mahmoud, [2]). Electrolyzed water can play also an important role in control of pathogen microorganisms from fresh vegetables as: tomatoes, cucumber, lettuce, etc (Deza M.A. et al. [5]). Due to it, low production cost, good bactericidal and ecological properties, in the latest years the electrolyzed water is using on large scale in all kind of animal farm (Fenner D. C. et al. [8]; Jirotkova D. et al. [11]). Studies performed in order to reduce Escherichia coli and Listeria monocytogenes from steel or plastic feeding tools and living space for chickens have showed that spraying the surfaces with electrolyzed water (30-50 mg/l active chlorine) has as effect the inactivation of the pathogen agents (Deza M.A. et al. [6]). By using, the electrostatic spraying technique of electrolyzed water on eggs has more efficiency in removing the pathogen agents from eggshell : Salmonella Typhimurium, Stafilococus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes (Bialka K. L. et al., [3]; Fasenko G. M. et al. [7]; Russel S.M. [17]). Using, the electrostatic spraying technique of electrolyzed water on broiler carcasses has also more efficiency in removing the aerobic bacteria Escherichia coli, Campylobacter and Salmonella from poultry meat (Northcutt J. et al. [15]). Airborne bacteria from poultry housing space can be effectively inactivated by spraying of membraneless acidic electrolyzed water (MLAEW) with adequate free chlorine (FC) and contact time. Bacteria were completely inactivated at 0.5 min when samples were treated with MLAEW with FC > 16.8 mg/l, in 2 min when FC > 13.8 mg/l, and in 5 min when FC > 7.2 mg/l (Yang Zhao et al. [19]). The neutral analyte ANK should be used immediately or in a few days after production in order to prevent the loss of active chlorine that is one of the most important factor contributing to antimicrobial activity (Len S. V. Et al. [13]). Our tests performed in experimental stand with electrolyzed water from INCDBNA Balotesti have as objectives evaluating the effect of electrolyzed water (neutral anolyte ANK) on microbial load from feedstuffs and mixed diets used in laying hen nutrition, from living space, cages and eggshell.

2. Materials and Methods

The sample of electrolyzed water has been produced by using an Envirolyte EL 400 unit ([20], [21]). A 25% sodium chloride solution and tap water was simultaneously pumped into unit to obtain neutral anolyte ANK with the following characteristics: pH = 7.42; Oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) = 845 mV, Active chlorine = 445mg/l. The active chlorine quantity from ANK was adjusted to 445 mg/l by keeping the amperage in cell to a value between 23-25 A and the pH was adjusted to 7.40 by reducing/rising the quantity of catholyte evacuated as residue [20]. Solutions with a content of 8mg/l, 12 mg/l and 36mg/l active chlorine were obtained by dilution the ANK with distilled water like: 18 ml ANK and 982 ml distilled water, 27 ml ANK and 973 ml distilled water respectively 81 ml ANK and 919 distilled water. The percentages of ANK in water are 1.8%, 2.7% and 8.1% ANK respectively.

ORP and pH measurements have been performed with YSI Professional Plus instrument. Active chlorine measurements have been performed accordingly to the Envirolyte procedure, as follows:

Method: *Iodine titration* Protocol: Anolyte solution 10 ml H₂SO₄ 1N 50 ml few crystals KI:

Titration with Na₂S₂O₃ x 5 H₂O solution 0.1 N

Calculation of active chlorine, as concentration

Table nr. 1 – Active chlorine concentration according to volume solution $Na_2S_2O_3 \times 5 H_2O$ used for titration (ml)

Volume solution $Na_2S_2O_3 \times 5 H_2O$ for titration (ml)	Active chlorine concentration (mg/l)
0.58	200
0.85	300
1.40	500
2.00	700
2.10	750
2.25	800
2.40	850
2.50	900
3.00	1065
3.50	1240
4.00	1420

In order to evaluate the effect of ANK on microbial load from feedstuffs and diets used in laying hen nutrition, from housing space, cages and eggshells, the following samples were taken: I) Feedstuffs (7 samples): wheat, maize, gluten maize, rice, barley, soybean meal and canola in quantity of 250 g /sample. II) Diets for laying hens (3 samples): diet for control lot – MDC, diet for lot E1 – MDE1 and diet for lot E3 – MDE3; III) Eggs samples (four eggs/lot – from five lots) like: "Control lot" (diet MDC) – laying hens that were fed on with tape water. "Level 1 – E1 lot" (diet MDE1) - laying hens that were fed on with ANK solution and a content in active chlorine of 8mg/l. "Level 1 – E3 lot" (diet MDE3) - laying hens that were fed on with ANK solution and a content in active chlorine of 12mg/l. "Level 2 – E3 lot" (diet MDE3) - laying hens that were fed on with ANK solution and a content in active chlorine of 12mg/l. IV) Sanitation samples on the floor (4 samples): two before and two after washing for 15 min the floor with ANK, 36mg/l active chlorine.

On the feedstuffs and diets, samples were performed microbiological analysis for two indicators: "Total number of germs" (NTG) and "Yeast and fungi" respectively.

To determine NTG were used the procedures from standard SR EN ISO 4833-1/2014. To determine "Yeast and fungi" were used the procedures from standard SR ISO 21527-1/2009. On the eggs samples were performed microbiological analysis for one indicator: "Total number of germs", according to standard SR ISO 4833-1/2014 and the results were expressed as count cfu/g. On the sanitation samples were performed microbiological analysis for two indicators according to the actually sanitary veterinary regulations like: "Total number of germs", according to standard SR ISO 4833-1/2014, and "Total coliform bacteria", SR ISO 4831- 2006 (Cotrau M. and Maria Proca, [4]; Manescu S. [14]). All samples were inoculated in Petri dish, doubled, with the dilution of 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} . The laboratory analysis were performed on four sorts of samples with 2-3 stages for each sort.

The feedstuffs and diets analysis

In first stage were performed the initial microbial load for each sample.

In the second stage, each sample composed from 10 g substance was treated 15 min with 90 ml ANK solution containing 8mg/l active chlorine and then were performed tests to determine the two microbiological indicators. In the third stage, each sample composed from 10 g substance was treated 15 min with 90 ml ANK solution containing 12mg/l active chlorine and then were performed tests to determine the two microbiological indicators.

The eggshell analysis

lot.

In first stage were performed the initial microbial load (NTG) for four eggs from each

In the second stage, two eggs from control lot were immersed for 15 min in 200 ml ANK solution containing 8 mg/l active chlorine than were performed tests to determine the microbiological indicator (NTG).

In the third stage, two eggs from control lot were immersed for 15 min in 200 ml ANK solution containing 12mg/l active chlorine than were performed tests to determine the microbiological indicator (NTG).

The sanitation tests analysis

In first stage were performed the microbial load for two samples taken before washing the floor.

In the second stage were performed the microbial load for two samples taken after washing the floor.

In *Total number of germs* have not been included yeast and fungi. The *Total number of germs* are represented by mesophilic aerobic bacteria that were developed on the selective PCA (Plate Count Agar) at a temperature of 30^oC for 3 days. Yeast and fungi

were developed on the selective Yeast Extract Agar DRBC (Agar with chloramphenicoland dichloethane rose Bengal) at a temperature of 25^oC for three days (for veast) and five days for fungi.

The removing level of microbial load caused by treatment has been calculated as: $Rl_{tr} = (1 - Value after treatment/Initial value) \times 100$ [%]

3. Results and discussion

a) The feedstuffs and diets analysis

Table nr.2 shows the initial value of NTG for feedstuffs and after the treatment applied for 15min with ANK solution containing 8mg/l and 12mg/l active chlorine.

Table nr.2- Removing level of NTG from feedstuffs with ANK solutions						
SAMPLE	Microbiological indicators					
10g feedstuff + 90ml ANK	Total number of germs cfu/g Removing level of NTC					
	Initial	ANK	ANK	ANK		
	value	8mg /1	8mg /1	12mg /1		
		chlorine	chlorine			
1. Wheat	50000 40000 3000 20.00%					

2. Maize	30000	20000	10000	33.33%	66.67%
3. Maize gluten	10000	1000	300	90.00%	97.00%
4. Rice	300000	30000	20000	90.00%	93.33%
5. Barley	60000	50000	30000	16.67%	50.00%
6. Soybean meal	70000	60000	50000	14.29%	28.57%
7. Canola meal	400000	300000	200000	25.00%	50.00%

Table nr. 3 shows the initial value of yeast and fungi for feedstuffs and after the treatment applied for 15 min with ANK solution containing 8 mg/l and 12 mg/l active chlorine.

Table nr.3- Removing level of yeast and fungi from feedstuffs with ANK solutions

SAMPLE	Microbiological indicators				
10g feedstuff + 90ml ANK	Yea	st and fungi cfu	Remo	ving level	
	Initial ANK		ANK	ANK	ANK
	value	8mg /1	12mg /1	8mg /l	12mg /1
		chlorine	chlorine	chlorine	chlorine
1 Wheat	7000	6000	5000	14.29%	28.57%
2. Maize	100000	10000	9000	90.00%	91.00%
3. Maize gluten	1000	100	30	90.00%	97.00%
4. Rice	10000	1000	900	90.00%	91.00%
5. Barley	2000	200	180	90.00%	91.00%
6. Soybean meal	3000	300	200	90.00%	93.33%
7. Canola meal	300000	3000	2000	99.00%	99.33%

Table nr. 4 shows the initial value of NTG for diets and after the treatment applied for 15 min with ANK solution containing 8mg/l and 12mg/l active chlorine.

Table III.4 Removing level of 1016 from dets with 74 (R solutions						
SAMPLE	Microbiological indicators					
10g diets + 90ml ANK	Total r	number of germ	Removing le	evel of NTG		
	Initial	ANK	ANK	ANK		
	value	8mg /l	12mg /1	8mg /1	12mg /1	
		chlorine	chlorine	chlorine	chlorine	
Lot Control – MDC	200000	180000	140000	10.00%	30.00%	
Lot E1 – MDE1	250000	250000 200000		20.00%	32.00%	
Lot E3 – MDE3	140000	120000	100000	14.29%	28.57%	

Table nr.4 - Removing level of NTG from diets with ANK solutions

Table nr. 5 shows the initial value of yeast and fungi for diets and after the treatment applied for 15 min with ANK solution containing 8mg/l and 12mg/l active chlorine. Table nr.5 - Removing level of yeasts and fungi from diets with ANK solutions

SAMPLE	Microbiological indicators				
10g diets + 90ml ANK	Yeast and fungi cfu/g			Rei	moving level
	Initial	ANK	ANK	ANK	
	value 8mg /l		12mg /l	8mg /1	12mg /1
	chlorine		chlorine	chlorine	chlorine
Lot Control – MDC	300000	270000	200000	10.00%	33.33%
Lot E1 – MDE1	400000	300000	230000	25.00%	42.50%
Lot E3 – MDE3	500000	400000	350000	20.00%	30.00%

b) The eggshell analysis

Table nr.6 shows the value of NTG for eggshells samples from laying hens fed on with tape water and ANK solutions respectively.

Tuble in to Thems ting is ter of title on eggshen from hyping items fed on wh				
SAMPLE	Microbiological indicators			
Eggshell	Total number of germs cfu/g			
Control lot control diet + tap water	80000			
L1 E1 diet 1+ 8 mg/l active chlorine in water	50000			
L1 E3 diet 3+ 8 mg/l active chlorine in water	20000			
L2 E1 diet 1+ 12 mg/l active chlorine in water	17000			
L2 E3 diet 3+ 12 mg/l active chlorine in water	14000			

Table nr. 6 - Removing level of NTG on eggshell from laying hens fed on with ANK solutions

Table nr.7 shows the initial value of NTG for eggs from control lot and after the treatment applied for 15 min with ANK solution containing 8mg/l and 12mg/l active chlorine. Table nr.7- Removing level of NTG on eggshells from laying hens fed on with tap water, by immersion in ANK solutions for 15 min

SAMPLE	Microbiological indicators				
Eggshell	Total number of germs cfu/g Removing level				
	Initial	ANK	ANK	ANK	
	value	8mg /1	8mg /1	12mg /1	
		chlorine	chlorine	chlorine	chlorine
Control lot	80000	40000	30000	50.00%	62.50%

c) The sanitation tests analysis

The microbiologic analysis results for sanitation tests are showed in the Table nr.8. Table nr.8 - Removing level of NTG and total coliform bacteria from hen-house space with ANK solutions

	Microbiological indicators			
SAMPLE	Total	number of	Total coliform bacteria /	
Floor		germs/cm ²	cm^2	
Sanitation test before washing		$1000 /{\rm cm}^2$	+	
Sanitation test after washing for 15 min		$500 / cm^2$	-	
with ANK, 36 mg/l active chlorine				

They were taken samples of feedstuffs, diets and eggs from INCDBNA Balotesti experimental house with electrolyzed water. For all samples were determined the initial microbial load. The initial value of NTG from feedstuffs varies in a large range (about ten times), between 10000 cfu/g for maize gluten and the highest value 400000 cfu/g, for canola meal while that of the mixed diets varies in a small range (about 1.8 times), between 140000 cfu/g for MDE3 and the highest value 250000 cfu/g, for MDE1.The initial value of NTG from eggshells presented an intermediate variation (about 5.7 times), between 14000 cfu/g for eggs from L2-E3 and the highest value 80000 cfu/g, for eggs from control lot.

The feedstuffs and diets samples were treated for 15 min with ANK solution containing 8mg/l respectively 12 mg/l active chlorine.

The ANK solutions containing 8mg/l active chlorine used in experiments had a bactericidal effect reducing the *NTG* content of feedstuffs from 14.29 % for soybean meal until 90.00 % for rice and maize gluten and the *yeasts and fungi* from 14.29 % for wheat until 99.00 % for canola meal. For mixed diets the reducing of NTG was from 10.00 % for Control-MDC until 20.00 % for MDE1 and the *yeasts and fungi* from 10.00 % for Control-MDC until 25.00 % for MDE1.

The ANK solutions containing 12mg/l active chlorine used in experiments had a better bactericidal effect reducing the NTG content of feedstuffs from 28.57% for soybean meal

until 97% for maize gluten and the *yeasts and fungi* from 28.57% for wheat until 99.33% for canola meal. For diets the reducing of NTG was from 28.57% for MDE3 until 32.00% for

MDE1 and the *yeasts and fungi* from 30.00 % for MDE3 until 42.50% for MDE1.

Feedstuffs and diets with a higher microbial load need an ANK solution with high contents of active chlorine up to 15mg/l.

The NTG on eggshells from laying hens, who were fed on for least one month with ANK solution with 12 mg/l active chlorine were between 14000-17000 cfu/g. These values of NTG were significantly lower than 80000 cfu/g that was found for eggshells from laying hens fed on only with tap water. The NTG value is lower and lower with the increasing the active chlorine concentration in drinking water. When used in farm the content of active chlorine from drinking water has to be below 15mg/l. A higher content of active chlorine could affect the nutritional metabolism of laying hens. Both ANK solutions used in experiments had a bactericidal effect reducing the *NTG* content of eggshell with 50% for 8mg/l active chlorine.

Samples were taken from the floor before and after washing for 15 min with ANK solution containing 36 mg/l active chlorine. The reduction of NTG/cm² was for two times and the Coliforms were total removed. As technological method, ANK can be used as disinfecting agent by spraying for 15-30 min weekly, the housing area of laying hens. Cold fog of ANK could be applied with or without the laying hens inside. In the presence of hens, the concentration of active chlorine from ANK solution has not to be over 50mg/l to avoid breathing disorder. In order to have a maximum efficiency in the housing area without hens the ANK applied as disinfectant, should not be diluted.

4. Conclusion

We can conclude that the ANK solution supplied to laying hens in percentage of 1.5%
- 3.0% in drinking water reduces the content of germs even inside the laying hens' body, diminishing the potential of eggs contamination. No significant differences were observed between the group which was given water at 12 mg/l respectively 8 mg/l active chlorine.

Both ANK solution of 12 mg/l respectively 8 mg/l active chlorine had more effect for

reducing NTG and *yeasts and fungi* from feedstuffs than that from mixed diets. The quality of the administrated water and of mixed diets to the laying hens represents an important factor that influences laying hen health status and productive performances. ANK solution can be used efficiently to reduce the contents of NTG, *yeasts and fungi*, total coliform bacteria and other contaminants from drinking water, mixed diets and hen-house space, being considered a nontoxic product for poultry, as well, for the environment.

5.Acknowledgements

These researches were funded by Ministry of Education and Research of the Romania (Project PNII –no 51-077).

References

1. AMARAL, L. A., Drinking water as a risk factor to poultry health, *Braz. J. Poult. Sci.* 6, (2004), pp. 191–199.

2. BARAKAT S. M. Mahmoud, Electrolyzed Water: A New Technology for Food Decontamination – A Review, *Deutsche Lebensmittel-Rundschau*, 103, Jahrgang, Heft 5, (2007), pp. 212-221.

3. BIALKA K. L., A. DEMIRCI, S. J. KNABEL, P. H. PATTERSON, V. M. PURI, Efficacy of electrolyzed oxidizing water for the microbial safety and quality of eggs, *Poult. Sci.* 83, (2004), pp. 2071–2078.

4. COTRAU M., MARIA PROCA, Analytical toxicology, *Medical Publishing House*, Bucharest, Romania, (1988).

5. DEZA M. A., M. ARAUJO, M. J. GARRIDO, Inactivation of Escherichia coli O157:H7, Salmonella enteritidis and Listeria monocytogenes on the surface of tomatoes by neutral electrolyzed water, *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 37, (2003), pp. 482–487.

6. DEZA M. A., M. ARAUJO, M. J. GARRIDO, Inactivation of Escherichia coli, Listeria monocytogenes, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus on stainless steel and glass surfaces by neutral electrolysed water, *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 40, (2005), pp. 341–346.

7. FASENKO G. M., E. E. O'DEA CHRISTOPHER, L. M. MCMULLEN, Spraying hatching eggs with electrolyzed oxidizing water reduces eggshell microbial load without compromising broiler production parameters, *Poultry Science* 88, (2009), pp. 1121–1127.

8. FENNER D. C., B. BURGE, H. P. KAYSER, M. M. WITTENBRINK, The anti-microbial activity of electrolysed oxidizing water against microorganisms relevant in veterinary medicine, *J. Vet. Med. B Infect. Dis. Vet. Public Health* 53, 2006, pp. 133–137.

9. HAO X. X., B. M. LI, C. Y. WANG, Q. ZHANG, W. CAO, Application of slightly acidic electrolyzed water for inactivating microbes in a layer breeding house, *Poult. Sci.* 2, (2013), pp. 2560–2566.

10. HULEA ANA, MARIA OPREA, HORIA ILIESCU, Handling-book for potential pathogen mycoses identified on forages, *Ceres Publishing House*, Bucharest, Romania, (2005).

11. JIROTKOVA D., M. ŠOCH, N. KERNEROVA, V. PALKA, L. EIDELPESOVA, Use of electrolyzed water in animal production, *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. Food. Sci.* 2, (2012), pp. 477–483.

12. KIM C., Y.C. HUNG, S. M. RUSSELL , Efficacy of Electrolyzed Water in the

Prevention and Removal of Fecal Material Attachment and Its Microbicidal Effectiveness During Simulated Industrial Poultry Processing, *Poultry Science* 84, (2005), pp. 1778–1784.

13. LEN S. V., Y. C. HUNG, D. CHUNG, J. L. ANDERSON, M. C. ERICKSON, K. MORITA, Effects of storage conditions and pH on chlorine loss in electrolyzed oxidizing (EO) water, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 50, (2002), pp. 209–212.

14. MANESCU S., Hygiene book, 1st vol., *Medical Publishing House*, Bucharest, Romania, (1984).

15. NORTHCUTT J., D. SMITH, K. D. INGRAM, A. HINTON JR., M. MUSGROVE, Recovery of bacteria from broiler carcasses after spray washing with acidified electrolyzed water or sodium hypochlorite solutions, *Poult. Sci.* 86, (2007), pp. 2239–2244.

16. PARK CHUNG-MYEON, HUNG YEN-CON, LIN CHYI-SHEN, BRACKETT ROBERT E., Efficacy of electrolyzed water in inactivating *Salmonella enteritidis* and *Listeria monocytogenes* on shell eggs, *Journal of Food Protection*, 5, (2005), pp. 986-990.

17. RUSSELL S. M., The effect of electrolyzed oxidizing water applied using electrostatic spraying on pathogenic and indicator bacteria on the surface of eggs, *Poult. Sci.* 82, (2003), pp. 158–162.

18. WEI CAO, ZHI WEI ZHU, ZHENG XIANG SHI, CHAO YUAN WANG, BAO MING LI, Efficiency of slightly acidic electrolyzed water for inactivation of *Salmonella enteritidis* and its contaminated shell eggs, International *Journal of Food Microbiology* 130, (2009), pp. 88–93.

19. YANG ZHAO, HONGWEI XIN, DELING ZHAO, WEICHAO ZHENG, WEI TIAN, HE MA, KAI LIU, HUI HU, TONG WANG, MICHELLE SOUPIR, Free chlorine loss during spraying of membraneless acidic electrolyzed water and its antimicrobial effect on airborne bacteria from poultry house, *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine*, Vol 21, No 2, (2014), pp. 249–255.

20. **** Envirolyte EL- 400 Water Conditioning Unit, *Üser's manual*.

21. **** Envirolyte - European Farm Applications.